

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/604,937	08/27/2003	Richard S. Lillard II	33683	1936
23589	7590 04/27/	95	EXAM	INER
	ILLIAMS LLP D BLVD., SUITE 40		ALIMENTI	, SUSAN C
	TY, MO 64108		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		3644	

DATE MAILED: 04/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/604,937	LILLARD, RICHARD S.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Susan C. Alimenti	3644				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of third will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AE	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11	February 2005.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th						
3) Since this application is in condition for allows	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-6,9,10,13,15-28 and 36-38</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
<u> </u>	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-6,9,10,13,15-28 and 36-38</u> is/are rejected.					
<u> </u>	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 2-6, 9-10, 13, 15-28 and 36-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rogers et al. (US 2,754,613) and further in view of Dykema (US 6,640,485).

Rogers et al. (Rogers hereafter) discloses the claimed fishing tackle, except the sleeve 28 is not made of plastic and does not endlessly encircle the hook 23 and the clip 10. Rogers' fishing tackle comprises a fishing hook 23, a bait attachment clip fixed relative to the hook, said clip including first 10 and second 14 jaws shiftably coupled together by spring 22' to enable a clamped position, wherein the first jaw ends are adjacent one another and the second jaw ends 12, 16 are spaced form one another. The hook includes an eyelet 25 in one end thereof and an opposed barbed end 23 spaced from the eyelet 25 by shaft portion 24. The hook further comprises a first bend 23A (See examiner's reference characters in Figure 2) disposed between the barbed end 23 and the shaft portion 24, and a second bend 24A disposed between the shaft portion 24 and the eyelet 25. Rogers' clip further comprising an aperture 20 located on the first jaw member 10 near end 12 configured to be slideably received over the barbed end 23 of the hook and prevented from sliding over the eyelet 25. Finally a sleeve 28 is provided to receive both the first jaw end of the first jaw 10 and a portion of the shaft 24 to releaseably hold them in parallel alignment (Rogers, col.2, Ins.9-15).

Application/Control Number: 10/604,937

Page 3

Art Unit: 3644

2. While Rogers does not use a plastic, flexible sleeve in order to achieve this coupling feature, Dykema discloses a fishing tackle that employs such a flexible sleeve coupler. Dykema teaches that at least flexible sleeve 60 is applied over a portion of wire frame 68 to stabilize at least a portion of hook 64 thereto. (Dykema, col. 3, lns.16-27) Tail portion 22 is similarly made of "elastic flexible material." (Dykema, col.3, lns.8-9) It is further noted that such an endlessly encircling flexible sleeve or tube is well known to be advantageous in coupling, securing, or stabilizing discrete parts of fishing lures or other objects. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Rogers' tackle by implementing Dykema's flexible sleeve as an obvious substitution of art recognized equivalent parts for achieving the same function.

3. Regarding claims 36-38, there is no positive recitation as to what type of material Dykema's elastic flexible sleeve is made of, however it is considered obvious that an elastically flexible sleeve would be made of some type of elastically deformable polymer or resin/rubber. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use polyurethane or a rubber material to make the sleeve, since it has been held to be with in the level of ordinary skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 2-6, 9-10, 13, 15-28 and 36-38 have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Art Unit: 3644

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan C. Alimenti whose telephone number is 571-272-6897. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harvey Behrend can be reached on 571-272-6871. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/604,937 Page 5

Art Unit: 3644

7. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SCA